

# **EXHIBIT 5**

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA  
3 AT HUNTINGTON  
4 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
5  
6

---

7  
8 IN RE: ETHICON, INC., PELVIC  
9 REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY MDL NO.  
10 LITIGATION 2:12-md-2327  
11  
12

---

13  
14 MOTION HEARING

15 JUNE 13, 2014

16 BEFORE THE HONORABLE **CHERYL A. EIFERT,**  
17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
18

19 Sidney L. Christie Federal Building  
20 845 Fifth Avenue, Room 109  
21 Huntington, West Virginia 25701  
22  
23  
24  
25

—Motion Hearing—

1 MR. ANDERSON: Some cases, it may be --

2 THE COURT: Yes.

3 MR. ANDERSON: -- additional evidence of pathology.

4 MR. THOMAS: We certainly believe it's critical  
5 evidence, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: All right. We don't agree, as I  
7 understand it, that the plaintiffs have control over it. I  
8 think the defendant says that the plaintiffs do have control  
9 over it; plaintiff says plaintiffs do not have control over  
10 this evidence. Right?

11 MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: I'm going to tell you that I looked at  
13 your argument regarding the medical records and I don't agree  
14 with that argument, and I'll tell you why I don't agree with  
15 it.

16 I think that tissue is completely different from  
17 medical records because my understanding of medical records is  
18 that those records belong to the medical provider. The  
19 medical provider creates the records. It's the thought  
20 process of the medical provider. And from the beginning of  
21 time, those records have belonged to the medical provider.  
22 The patient has had an interest in the record, since the  
23 record is about the patient, but it's always been the work  
24 product of the provider. And so that makes records a little  
25 different, in my mind, than, for example, my tissue taken out